UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,244	06/22/2005	Istvan Gorog	PU020501	2967
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Thomson Licen	7590 08/10/200 sing LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5312		BOUTAH, ALINA A		
Two Independe PRINCETON, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2443	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/540,244	GOROG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALINA N. BOUTAH	2443		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-10 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-7 and 11 in the reply filed on April 22, 2009 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS submitted March 28, 2009 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the video signal for predicting," "the video signal for determining," "the video signal for compensating," and "the color characteristic." There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 1 recites in part: "modifying the video signal for compensating..."

It is unclear as to which signal it is referred to.

Claim 6 recites, "the mask." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,244 Page 3

Art Unit: 2443

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hudson et al. (US 2004/0070562 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Hudson teaches a method of correcting a color characteristic of an image displayed in response to a video signal comprising the steps of:

- A) processing the video signal for predicting a variation in a physical characteristic of a display device displaying the image [abstract predicting temperature change];
- B) processing the video signal for determining a change in the color characteristic occurring in response to the variation in the physical characteristic [0015 predicting color change]; and
- C) modifying the video signal for compensating for the change in the color characteristic [abstract modifying the liquid crystal voltage operating range to achieve and maintain proper white point operating point for display].

Regarding claim 3, Hudson teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the display device comprises a color picture tube and wherein step B comprises the steps of: determining a change in a register characteristic of an electron beam occurring in response to the variation in the physical characteristic [abstract]; and determining a change in the color characteristic occurring in response to the change in the register characteristic [abstract].

Regarding claim 7, Hudson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of modifying the video signal comprises the steps of: determining a change in a beam current of the color picture tube necessary to compensate for the change in the color characteristic [0015]; and modifying the video signal for producing the beam current change [0017].

Claim 11 is similar to claim 1, therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudson in view of Dalal et al. (US 6,051,273).

Regarding claim 2, Hudson teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the display device comprises a color picture tube and wherein step A comprises the steps of: processing the video signal for predicting a temperature distribution of a mask of the color picture tube [abstract; figure 4]. However, Hudson does not explicitly teach predicting a change in a location of an aperture of the mask relative to an initial location occurring in response to the temperature distribution. In an analogous art, Dalal teaches predicting a change in a location of an aperture of the mask relative to an initial location occurring in response to the temperature distribution (col. 5, lines 10-15). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to predict a change in location of a aperture in order to determine the ideal location so that it can compensate the temperature distribution.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

It is noted that the column, line, and/or page number citations used in the prior art references as applied by the Examiner to the claimed invention are for the convenience of the Applicant to represent the relevant teachings of the prior art. The prior art references may contain further teachings and/or suggestions that may further

Art Unit: 2443

distinguish the citations applied to the claims, therefore, the Applicant should consider the entirety of these prior art references during the process of responding to this Office Action. It is further noted that any alternative and non-preferred embodiments as taught and/or suggested within the prior art references also constitute prior art and the prior art references may be relied upon for all the teachings would have reasonably suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 2123.

The prior art listed in the PT0-892 form included with this Office Action disclose methods, systems, and apparatus similar to those claimed and recited in the specification. The Examiner has cited these references to evidence the level and/or knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to provide support for universal facts and the technical reasoning for the rejections made in this Office Action including the Examiner's broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims as required by MPEP 2111 and to evidence the plain meaning of any terms not defined in the specification that are interpreted by the Examiner in accordance with MPEP 2111.01. The Applicant should consider these cited references when preparing a response to this Office Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALINA N. BOUTAH whose telephone number is (571)272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00 am - 5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tonia L.M. Dollinger can be reached on 571-272-4170. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/540,244 Page 7

Art Unit: 2443

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alina N Boutah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443